

## Ring–Chain Tautomerism of 2-Aryl-substituted Imidazolidines

László Lázár, Anikó Göblyös, Ferenc Evanics<sup>‡</sup>, Gábor Bernáth and Ferenc Fülöp\*

Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical University, H-6701 Szeged, POB 121, Hungary

<sup>‡</sup>Institute of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical University, H-6701 Szeged, POB 121, Hungary

Received 1 July 1998; revised 18 August 1998; accepted 3 September 1998

**Abstract.** *N*-Methyl-, *N*-ethyl-, *N*-(*n*-propyl)-, *N*-(*iso*-propyl)- and *N*-phenyl-2-arylimidazolidines proved to be ring–chain tautomeric mixtures in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The ratios of the open and ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria of these compounds is described by the equation  $\log K_X = \rho\sigma^+ + \log K_{X=H}$ , used earlier for the ring–chain equilibria of saturated 2-aryl-1,3-*O,N*-heterocycles. These are the first examples among 2-arylimidazolidines of ring–chain tautomeric processes characterized by a Hammett-type correlation. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** imidazolidines; diamines; tautomerism; electronic and steric effects.

The structures and reactivities of numerous five- and six-membered, saturated, *N*-unsubstituted 1,3-*X,N*-heterocycles (*X* = *O*, *S*, *NR*) can be characterized by the ring–chain tautomeric equilibria of the 1,3-*X,N*-heterocycles and the corresponding Schiff bases.<sup>1</sup>

The oxazolidines and tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines are groups of saturated 1,3-*X,N*-heterocycles whose ring–chain tautomerism has been studied most thoroughly.<sup>1–3</sup> For 2-aryl-substituted derivatives of these types of compounds, a clear-cut correlation was found between the log *K<sub>X</sub>* values of the equilibria (*K<sub>X</sub>* = [ring]/[chain]) and the Hammett–Brown constants  $\sigma^+$  of the substituents on the 2-aryl group. The ring–chain tautomerism of these compounds could be described by Equation (1) in both the liquid and gas phases:<sup>2–4</sup>

$$\log K_X = \rho\sigma^+ + \log K_{X=H} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

In contrast, ring–chain tautomeric processes in the corresponding 1,3-*N,N*-heterocycles have been observed only in special cases.<sup>1,5–9</sup> Very little is known concerning the effect of the substituents on the tautomeric equilibria of these compounds.<sup>10–15</sup> Therefore, our aim was to investigate the scope and limitations of Equation (1) by studying the ring–chain tautomerism of some 2-aryl-substituted 1-alkyl- and 1-phenyl-imidazolidines.

Model compounds **6–10** were prepared by the reactions of *N*-methyl- (**1**), *N*-ethyl- (**2**), *N*-(*n*-propyl)- (**3**), *N*-(*iso*-propyl)- (**4**) and *N*-phenylethylenediamine (**5**) with equivalent amounts of substituted benzaldehydes (Scheme 1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **6–10** revealed that all of these compounds (except **9i**, in which no ring form could be detected) participated in a ring–chain equilibrium in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution.

The ratios of the concentrations of the ring and chain forms for the tautomeric equilibria (log *K<sub>X</sub>*), determined by integration of the well-separated *N*-CHAr-*N* (ring) and *N*=CH (chain) proton singlets, seemed

\*e-mail: fulop@pharma.szote.u-szeged.hu

The equilibria of imidazolidines **6–10** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  involve a considerable amount of the ring form, despite the 5-*endo-trig* ring-closure process of the tautomeric forms (**A**  $\rightarrow$  **B**) according to Baldwin's rules.<sup>17</sup> A comparison of the intercepts (the *c* value is the difference in intercepts of the given 2-arylimidazolidine and the parent unsubstituted 2-aryloxazolidine<sup>3</sup>) reveals that the ratios of the ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria of *N*-methyl-, *N*-ethyl-, *N*-(*n*-propyl)- and *N*-phenylimidazolidines are markedly higher than those for oxazolidines. The steric effect of the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the *N*-substituent plays a crucial role in the addition of the NHR group to the C=N bond. An increase in the steric requirement of the *N*-substituent, *i.e.* the number of methyl groups on the carbon adjacent to the nitrogen (*N*-Me  $\rightarrow$  *N*-Et  $\rightarrow$  *N*-*i*Pr), decreased the ratio of the ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria. However, introduction of a methyl group onto the  $\beta$ -carbon of the *N*-substituent (*N*-Et  $\rightarrow$  *N*-*n*Pr) did not significantly influence the intercept values.

The above results indicate that the electronic effect of the substituent on the 2-aryl group definitively determines the ratio of ring and open-chain tautomers in all series of imidazolidines **6–10**. The ring-chain ratios are influenced not only by the substituent *X* on the aromatic ring, but also by the substituent on the *N* atom of the imidazolidine ring. The proportion of the ring form increases in the following sequence of *N*-substituents: *i*Pr < Ph < *n*Pr  $\approx$  Et < Me. Efforts to elucidate the electronic effects of the substituents on the *N*-phenyl group on the tautomeric equilibria of 2-arylimidazolidines are in progress.

## EXPERIMENTAL

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE DRX 400 spectrometer at 300 K, using a "5 mm inverse Z gradient" probehead. The samples were dissolved in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  or in  $\text{DMSO-d}_6$  containing 0.03% TMS as reference. For the equilibria to be established,<sup>18</sup> the solutions were left to stand at ambient temperature for 1 day before the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were run. The number of scans was usually 64.

Melting points were determined on a Kofler micro melting point apparatus and are not corrected. The physical data on compounds **6–10** are listed in Table 3.

### *General method for the synthesis of 2-arylimidazolidines*

To a solution of the appropriate diamine (3 mmol) in 20 mL of absolute methanol, an equivalent amount of aromatic aldehyde was added (in the case of liquid aldehydes, a freshly distilled sample was used), and the mixture was left to stand at ambient temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated off and the evaporation was repeated after the addition of 10 mL of benzene. The oily products were dried in a vacuum desiccator for 24 h. The NMR spectra proved that the purities of these compounds were greater than 95%. Crystalline products were filtered off and recrystallized. All of the recrystallized new compounds (**10b,d,g-i**) gave satisfactory data on elemental analysis (C, H, N  $\pm$ 0.3%).

### *NMR spectroscopic data on the aliphatic protons of 2-(p-bromophenyl) derivatives 6d–10d in $\text{CDCl}_3$*

The protons of the open forms **A** are numbered according to the corresponding protons of the ring forms **B** ( $\delta$  in ppm; in brackets the multiplicity, couplings in Hz and assignment, respectively; *om* = overlapping multiplets).

**6Ad**: 8.28 (*s*, 1H, N=CH), 3.72 (*t*, 2H, *J* = 6.0, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.91 (*t*, 2H, *J* = 6.0, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.47 (*s*, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>); **6Bd**: 3.86 (*s*, 1H, 2-CH), 3.32 (*ddd*, 1H, *J* = -16.4, 7.7, 2.5, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (*dd*, 1H, *J* = -8.0, 7.7, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (*ddd*, 1H, *J* = -8.0, 8.0, 2.5, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.44 (*dd*, 1H, *J* = 16.4, 8.0, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.20 (*s*, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>).

**7Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.75 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.6$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.96 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.6$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.70 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); **7Bd**: 4.00 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.40 (dt, 1H,  $J = -8.2$ , 3.2, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.25 (dt, 1H,  $J = -10.7$ , 8.2, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (ddd, 1H,  $J = -10.7$ , 8.2, 3.2, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55 (dq, 1H,  $J = -11.8$ , 7.3, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38 (q, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (dq, 1H,  $J = -11.8$ , 7.3 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.3$  CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

**8Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.75 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.8$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.95 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.8$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.62 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.5 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.5$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); **8Bd**: 4.00 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.37 (om, 1H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (om, 1H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.39 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.45 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.5$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

**9Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.72 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.0$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.94 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.0$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.84 (h, 1H,  $J = 6.3$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.06 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.3$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); **9Bd**: 4.42 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.10 (om, 2H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.00 (om, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.75 (om, 2H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.98 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.2$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).

**10Ad**: 8.23 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.83 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>); **10Bd**: 5.35 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.24 (om, 2H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.45 (m, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors' thanks are due to the Hungarian Research Foundation (OTKA grants No. T 20454 and No. T 015567) for financial support. The award of a "Magyary Z." scholarship to L. L. is also gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

1. Valters, R. E.; Fülöp F.; Korbonits, D. *Adv. Heterocyclic Chem.* **1996**, 66, 1.
2. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K.; Mattinen J.; Bernáth, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 3821.
3. Fülöp, F.; Neuvonen, K.; Pihlaja, K.; Bernáth, G.; Argay G.; Kálmán, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 1967.
4. Vainiotalo, P.; Ronkanen, S.; Fülöp F.; Pihlaja, K. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 3689.
5. Grimmett, M. R. in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II* (Eds.: Katritzky, A. R.; Rees, C. W.; Scriven, E. F. V.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1996, Vol. 3, p. 153.
6. Evans, R. F. in *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds* (Ed.: Taylor, E. C.), Vol. 52. *The Pyrimidines*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994, p. 817.
7. Wang, W.; Liang, T. C.; Zheng, M.; Gao, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 1181.
8. (a) Moodie, R. B.; Moustras, M. Z.; Read, G.; Sandall, J. P. B. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1996**, 134; (b) Moodie, R. B.; Moustras, M. Z.; Read, G.; Sandall, J. P. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 169.
9. Zelenin, K. N.; Alekseyev, V. V.; Ukraintsev, I. V.; Tselinsky, I. V. *Mendeleev Commun.* **1997**, 111.
10. Pihlaja, K.; Simeonov, M. F.; Fülöp, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 5080.
11. Chapuis, C.; Gauvreau, A.; Klæbe, A.; Lattes, A.; Perie, J. J. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1973**, 977.
12. (a) Korbonits, D.; Tóbiás-Héja, E.; Kolonits, P. *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, 124, 1199; (b) Korbonits, D.; Tóbiás-Héja, E.; Simon, K.; Kolonits, P. *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, 124, 2065.
13. Witek, S.; Bielawska, A.; Bielawski, J. *Heterocycles* **1980**, 14, 1313.
14. Parinello, G.; Mülhaupt, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 1772.
15. (a) Zelenin, K. N.; Alekseyev, V. V.; Ukraintsev, I. V.; Tselinsky, I. V. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* **1998**, 30, 53; (b) Zelenin, K. N.; Ukraintsev, I. V. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* **1998**, 30, 109.
16. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 6701.
17. (a) Baldwin, J. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1976**, 734; (b) Johnson, C. D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993**, 26, 476.
18. Alva Astudillo, M. E.; Chokotho, N. C. J.; Jarvis, T. C.; Johnson, C. D.; Lewis, C. C.; McDonnell, P. D. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 5919.
19. Robert, Y.; Fernandez, J.-P.; Dubief, R.; Chapat, J.-P.; Sentenac-Roumanou, H.; Fatome, M.; Laval, J.-D.; Subra, G. *Eur. J. Med. Chem. - Chim. Ther.* **1982**, 17, 235.

The equilibria of imidazolidines **6–10** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  involve a considerable amount of the ring form, despite the 5-*endo-trig* ring-closure process of the tautomeric forms (**A**  $\rightarrow$  **B**) according to Baldwin's rules.<sup>17</sup> A comparison of the intercepts (the *c* value is the difference in intercepts of the given 2-arylimidazolidine and the parent unsubstituted 2-aryloxazolidine<sup>3</sup>) reveals that the ratios of the ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria of *N*-methyl-, *N*-ethyl-, *N*-(*n*-propyl)- and *N*-phenylimidazolidines are markedly higher than those for oxazolidines. The steric effect of the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the *N*-substituent plays a crucial role in the addition of the NHR group to the C=N bond. An increase in the steric requirement of the *N*-substituent, *i.e.* the number of methyl groups on the carbon adjacent to the nitrogen (*N*-Me  $\rightarrow$  *N*-Et  $\rightarrow$  *N*-*i*Pr), decreased the ratio of the ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria. However, introduction of a methyl group onto the  $\beta$ -carbon of the *N*-substituent (*N*-Et  $\rightarrow$  *N*-*n*Pr) did not significantly influence the intercept values.

The above results indicate that the electronic effect of the substituent on the 2-aryl group definitively determines the ratio of ring and open-chain tautomers in all series of imidazolidines **6–10**. The ring-chain ratios are influenced not only by the substituent *X* on the aromatic ring, but also by the substituent on the *N* atom of the imidazolidine ring. The proportion of the ring form increases in the following sequence of *N*-substituents: *i*Pr < Ph < *n*Pr  $\approx$  Et < Me. Efforts to elucidate the electronic effects of the substituents on the *N*-phenyl group on the tautomeric equilibria of 2-arylimidazolidines are in progress.

## EXPERIMENTAL

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE DRX 400 spectrometer at 300 K, using a "5 mm inverse Z gradient" probehead. The samples were dissolved in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  or in  $\text{DMSO-d}_6$  containing 0.03% TMS as reference. For the equilibria to be established,<sup>18</sup> the solutions were left to stand at ambient temperature for 1 day before the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were run. The number of scans was usually 64.

Melting points were determined on a Kofler micro melting point apparatus and are not corrected. The physical data on compounds **6–10** are listed in Table 3.

### *General method for the synthesis of 2-arylimidazolidines*

To a solution of the appropriate diamine (3 mmol) in 20 mL of absolute methanol, an equivalent amount of aromatic aldehyde was added (in the case of liquid aldehydes, a freshly distilled sample was used), and the mixture was left to stand at ambient temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated off and the evaporation was repeated after the addition of 10 mL of benzene. The oily products were dried in a vacuum desiccator for 24 h. The NMR spectra proved that the purities of these compounds were greater than 95%. Crystalline products were filtered off and recrystallized. All of the recrystallized new compounds (**10b,d,g-i**) gave satisfactory data on elemental analysis (C, H, N  $\pm$ 0.3%).

### *NMR spectroscopic data on the aliphatic protons of 2-(*p*-bromophenyl) derivatives **6d–10d** in $\text{CDCl}_3$*

The protons of the open forms **A** are numbered according to the corresponding protons of the ring forms **B** ( $\delta$  in ppm; in brackets the multiplicity, couplings in Hz and assignment, respectively; *om* = overlapping multiplets).

**6Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.72 (t, 2H,  $J$  = 6.0, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.91 (t, 2H,  $J$  = 6.0, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.47 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>); **6Bd**: 3.86 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.32 (ddd, 1H,  $J$  = -16.4, 7.7, 2.5, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (dd, 1H,  $J$  = -8.0, 7.7, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (ddd, 1H,  $J$  = -8.0, 8.0, 2.5, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.44 (dd, 1H,  $J$  = 16.4, 8.0, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.20 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>).

Table 3. Physical data on imidazolidines 6–10

Compd.	M.p. (°C)	Formula	M.W.	$\delta$ N=CHAr chain (A)	$\delta$ N-CHAr-N ring (B)
6a	37–39 <sup>a,b</sup>	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	207.23	8.42	4.07
6b	oil	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	207.23	8.41	4.08
6c	oil	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	241.14	8.26	3.88
6d	oil	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	241.14	8.28	3.86
6e	oil <sup>c</sup>	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Cl	196.68	8.23	3.66
6f	oil	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	162.24	8.32	3.87
6g	oil <sup>c</sup>	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	176.26	8.29	3.84
6h	oil <sup>c</sup>	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	192.26	8.25	3.89
6i	oil <sup>c</sup>	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	205.31	8.17	3.76
7a	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	221.26	8.40	4.20
7b	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	221.26	8.56	4.22
7c	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	255.17	8.26	4.02
7d	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	255.17	8.28	4.00
7e	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Cl	210.71	8.28	3.76
7f	oil	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	176.26	8.34	4.02
7g	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	190.29	8.29	3.96
7h	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	206.29	8.26	3.95
7i	oil	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	219.33	8.20	3.69
8a	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	235.29	8.42	4.22
8b	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	235.29	8.58	4.23
8c	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	269.19	8.26	4.02
8d	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	269.19	8.28	4.00
8e	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Cl	224.74	8.29	4.01
8f	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	190.29	8.33	4.01
8g	oil	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	204.32	8.29	3.97
8h	oil	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	220.32	8.26	3.95
8i	oil	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>23</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	233.36	8.20	3.69
9a	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	235.29	8.42	4.62
9b	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	235.29	8.58	4.63
9c	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	269.19	8.26	4.43
9d	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	269.19	8.28	4.42
9e	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Cl	224.74	8.28	4.42
9f	oil	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	190.29	8.34	4.43
9g	oil	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	204.32	8.30	4.38
9h	oil	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	220.32	8.26	4.36
9i	oil	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>23</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	233.36	8.20	3.84
10a	oil	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	269.31	8.36	5.49
10b	66–71 <sup>d</sup>	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	269.31	8.35	5.49
10c	oil	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	303.21	8.19	5.34
10d	64–66 <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Br	303.21	8.23	5.35
10e	oil	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Cl	258.75	8.27	5.41
10f	oil	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	224.31	8.28	5.39
10g	60–62 <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	238.34	8.25	5.37
10h	31–33 <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	254.34	8.21	5.35
10i	88–89 <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	267.38	8.18	5.35

<sup>a</sup>Recrystallized from *n*-hexane. <sup>b</sup>Lit.<sup>13</sup> m.p. 39–40 °C. <sup>c</sup>Lit.<sup>19</sup> oil. <sup>d</sup>Recrystallized from *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>O–EtOAc.

**7Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.75 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.6$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.96 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.6$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.70 (q, 2H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); **7Bd**: 4.00 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.40 (dt, 1H,  $J = -8.2$ , 3.2, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.25 (dt, 1H,  $J = -10.7$ , 8.2, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (ddd, 1H,  $J = -10.7$ , 8.2, 3.2, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55 (dq, 1H,  $J = -11.8$ , 7.3, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38 (q, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (dq, 1H,  $J = -11.8$ , 7.3 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.3$  CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

**8Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.75 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.8$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.95 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.8$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.62 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.5 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.5$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); **8Bd**: 4.00 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.37 (om, 1H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (om, 1H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.39 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.45 (om, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (t, 3H,  $J = 7.5$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

**9Ad**: 8.28 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.72 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.0$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.94 (t, 2H,  $J = 6.0$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.84 (h, 1H,  $J = 6.3$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.06 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.3$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); **9Bd**: 4.42 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.10 (om, 2H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.00 (om, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.75 (om, 2H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.98 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.2$ , CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).

**10Ad**: 8.23 (s, 1H, N=CH), 3.83 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5$ , 4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5$ , 5-CH<sub>2</sub>); **10Bd**: 5.35 (s, 1H, 2-CH), 3.24 (om, 2H, 4-CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.45 (m, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 (om, 1H, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors' thanks are due to the Hungarian Research Foundation (OTKA grants No. T 20454 and No. T 015567) for financial support. The award of a "Magyary Z." scholarship to L. L. is also gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

1. Valters, R. E.; Fülöp F.; Korbonits, D. *Adv. Heterocyclic Chem.* **1996**, 66, 1.
2. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K.; Mattinen J.; Bernáth, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 3821.
3. Fülöp, F.; Neuvonen, K.; Pihlaja, K.; Bernáth, G.; Argay G.; Kálmán, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 1967.
4. Vainiotalo, P.; Ronkanen, S.; Fülöp F.; Pihlaja, K. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 3689.
5. Grimmett, M. R. in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II* (Eds.: Katritzky, A. R.; Rees, C. W.; Scriven, E. F. V.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1996, Vol. 3, p. 153.
6. Evans, R. F. in *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds* (Ed.: Taylor, E. C.), Vol. 52. *The Pyrimidines*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994, p. 817.
7. Wang, W.; Liang, T. C.; Zheng, M.; Gao, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 1181.
8. (a) Moodie, R. B.; Moustras, M. Z.; Read, G.; Sandall, J. P. B. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1996**, 134; (b) Moodie, R. B.; Moustras, M. Z.; Read, G.; Sandall, J. P. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 169.
9. Zelenin, K. N.; Alekseyev, V. V.; Ukraintsev, I. V.; Tselinsky, I. V. *Mendeleev Commun.* **1997**, 111.
10. Pihlaja, K.; Simeonov, M. F.; Fülöp, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 5080.
11. Chapuis, C.; Gauvreau, A.; Kläbe, A.; Lattes, A.; Perie, J. J. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1973**, 977.
12. (a) Korbonits, D.; Tóbiás-Héja, E.; Kolonits, P. *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, 124, 1199; (b) Korbonits, D.; Tóbiás-Héja, E.; Simon, K.; Kolonits, P. *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, 124, 2065.
13. Witek, S.; Bielawska, A.; Bielawski, J. *Heterocycles* **1980**, 14, 1313.
14. Parinello, G.; Mülhaupt, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 1772.
15. (a) Zelenin, K. N.; Alekseyev, V. V.; Ukraintsev, I. V.; Tselinsky, I. V. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* **1998**, 30, 53; (b) Zelenin, K. N.; Ukraintsev, I. V. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* **1998**, 30, 109.
16. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 6701.
17. (a) Baldwin, J. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1976**, 734; (b) Johnson, C. D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993**, 26, 476.
18. Alva Astudillo, M. E.; Chokotho, N. C. J.; Jarvis, T. C.; Johnson, C. D.; Lewis, C. C.; McDonnell, P. D. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 5919.
19. Robert, Y.; Fernandez, J.-P.; Dubief, R.; Chapat, J.-P.; Sentenac-Roumanou, H.; Fatome, M.; Laval, J.-D.; Subra, G. *Eur. J. Med. Chem. - Chim. Ther.* **1982**, 17, 235.